UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL (Large Entity)

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

Docket No. 13734 (YOR920000357US1)

Total Pages in this Subm

TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Box Patent Application

ö			Washington, D.C. 20231	38 108 108
			rewith for filing under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) and 37 C.F.R. 1.53(b) is a new utility patent applica	tion for an
invent			ed: ID METHOD FOR CHARACTERIZING PROGRAM BEHAVIOR BY SAMPLING AT	
			PROGRAM POINTS	
and in	vent	ed by	v:	
Mat	tthew	R. A	Arnold Peter F. Sweeney	
	ohen id P.			
	hael			
If a C	ONT	INU	ATION APPLICATION, check appropriate box and supply the requisite information:	*****
	Cont	inuat	tion Divisional Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No.:	
Whic	h is a	1:		
	Conti	inua	tion Divisional Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No.:	
Whic	h is a	1:		
	Conti	inuat	tion Divisional Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No.:	701M-W
Enclo	sed a	are:	Application Elements	
	-			
1.	×	Filir	ng fee as calculated and transmitted as described below	
2.	X	Spe	ecification having 22 pages and including the following:	
	a.	X	Descriptive Title of the Invention	
	b.		Cross References to Related Applications (if applicable)	
	C.		Statement Regarding Federally-sponsored Research/Development (if applicable)	
	d.		Reference to Microfiche Appendix (if applicable)	
	e.	X	Background of the Invention	
	f.	X	Brief Summary of the Invention	
	g.		Brief Description of the Drawings (if drawings filed)	
	h.	X	Detailed Description	
	i.	X	Claim(s) as Classified Below	
	i.	X	Abstract of the Disclosure	

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL (Large Entity)

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

Docket No. 13734 (YOR920000357US1)

Total Pages in this Submission

				Application Elements (Continued)				
3.		Dra	awing(s) <i>(when nece</i>	essary as prescribed by 35 USC 113)				
	a.		Formal	Number of Sheets				
	b.		Informal	Number of Sheets				
4.	X							
	a.	X	Newly executed (d	original or copy)				
	b.		Copy from a prior	application (37 CFR 1.63(d)) (for continuation/divisional application only)				
	C.	X	With Power of Atte	orney Without Power of Attorney				
	d.			IVENTOR(S) attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application, 3(d)(2) and 1.33(b).				
5.		The und	e entire disclosure d	rence (usable if Box 4b is checked) of the prior application, from which a copy of the oath or declaration is supplied dered as being part of the disclosure of the accompanying application and is hereby nce therein.				
6.		☐ Computer Program in Microfiche (Appendix)						
7.		☐ Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission (if applicable, all must be included)						
	a.	_	Paper Copy					
	b.		Computer Readab	ole Copy (identical to computer copy)				
	C.		Statement Verifyin	ng Identical Paper and Computer Readable Copy				
				Accompanying Application Parts				
8.	X	Ass	ignment Papers <i>(co</i>	over sheet & document(s))				
9.		37 (CFR 3.73(B) Statem	nent (when there is an assignee)				
10.		Eng	lish Translation Dod	cument (if applicable)				
11.	X	Info	rmation Disclosure	Statement/PTO-1449 🗷 Copies of IDS Citations				
12.		Prel	liminary Amendmen	nt				
13.	X	Ack	nowledgment postc	ard				
14.	X	Cert	tificate of Mailing					
			First Class 🗷	Express Mail (Specify Label No.): EL658969695US				

at the second with the second the second second

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL (Large Entity)

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

Docket No. 13734 (YOR920000357US1)

Total Pages in this Submission

	Accompanying Application Parts (Continued)
15.	Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) (if foreign priority is claimed)
16.	Additional Enclosures (please identify below):
	Associate Power of Attorney and Request for Change of Mailing Address
	Request That Application Not Be Published Pursuant To 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)
17.	Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2), Applicant hereby requests that this patent application not be published pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(1). Applicant hereby certifies that the invention disclosed in this application has not and will not be the subject of an application filed in another country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing of the application.
	Warning
	An applicant who makes a request not to publish, but who subsequently files in a foreign country or under a multilateral international agreement specified in 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(i), must notify the Director of such filing not later than 45 days after the date of the filing of such foreign or international application. A failure of the applicant to provide such notice within the prescribed period shall result in the application being regarded as abandoned, unless it is shown to the satisfaction of the Director that the delay in submitting the notice was unintentional.

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL (Large Entity)

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

Docket No. 13734 (YOR920000357US1)

Total Pages in this Submission

Fee Calculation and Transmittal

CLAIMS AS FILED

For #Filed #Allowed #Extra Rate Fee \$288.00 **Total Claims** 36 - 20 = 16 \$18.00 х 3 Indep. Claims - 3 = 0 \$0.00 \$80.00 х Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable) \$0.00 \$710.00 **BASIC FEE** OTHER FEE (specify purpose) \$0.00 **TOTAL FILING FEE** \$998.00 ☐ A check in the amount of to cover the filing fee is enclosed. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 50-0510/IBM as described below. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed. Charge the amount of \$998.00 as filing fee. Credit any overpayment. ■ Charge any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 and 1.17. ☐ Charge the issue fee set in 37 C.F.R. 1.18 at the mailing of the Notice of Allowance, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.311(b). Signature

CC:

Dated: November 1, 2000

Richard L. Catania

Registration No. 32,608

400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530

(516) 742-4343

SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.

Examiner

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL" (37 CFR 1.10)

Filing Date

herewith

Applicant(s): Matthew r. Arnold, et al.

Serial No.

unassigned

Docket No.

Group Art U

unassigned

13734 (YOR920000357U

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CHARACTERIZING PROGRAM BEHAVIOR BY SAMPLING AT SELECTED PROGRAM POINTS

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

This invention relates generally to computer program execution systems, e.g., optimizing compilers, and more specifically, to a system and method for sampling executing programs at selected program yield points to enable the characterization of runtime program behavior.

Discussion of the Prior Art

Many modern programming language runtime environments and tools can benefit from runtime feedback from a program. For example, Java virtual machines may use runtime feedback to guide optimization of the running program. As another example, program understanding tools may gather runtime information and report summaries to the user.

Since running programs may potentially generate vast quantities of runtime data, many systems use statistical sampling to reduce the volume of information. With this well-known technique, the system collects only a subset, or sample, of the total relevant runtime information, and infers properties of the program by extrapolating from the sample.

Although sampling is a well-known principle, a system that implements sampling faces potentially difficult engineering

tradeoffs. The system must implement a sampling mechanism that gathers an interesting subset of the data, while minimizing runtime overhead. For some applications, the system must implement a mechanism that collects multiple independent samples. The system must extrapolate from the sampled data to recover the information it desires, a non-trivial task in some cases. Also, for some applications, the system must rely on compiler support to provide information about the program, and integrate this support with the runtime system.

10

15

Many compilers and programming tools collect runtime information to characterize the behavior of a running program. In order to collect runtime information, the system must periodically interrupt the running program and record information regarding the current state of execution.

There are two previous approaches to interrupting programs to collect runtime information. In the first approach, the system interrupts the program at any arbitrary instruction. For example, the DCPI profiling tool described in the reference to J.M. Andersen, L.M. Berc, J.Dean, et al. entitled "Continuous profiling: Where have all the cycles gone?", Technical Note 1997-016a, Digital Systems Research Center,

www.research.digital.com/SRC, Sept. 1997, interrupts the program after executing a fixed number of instructions. An advantage of this approach is that the mechanism works for any binary program, and requires no participation by the compiler.

In the second approach, the system identifies distinguished program points at which it collects information. For example,

the IBM family MMI Development Kits for Java supports invocation counters at method entries. With this approach, the compiler or interpreter conspires with the profiling system to interrupt the program at particular points. The advantage of this approach is that the compiler or interpreter can record detailed information specific to these distinguished program points. So, for example, the MMI systems record the identity of the interrupted method at each invocation counter point.

10 It would be highly desirable to provide improved methods and mechanisms for collecting executing program runtime information. In particular it would be highly desirable to provide improved methods and mechanisms for collecting executing program runtime information at a subset of distinguished program points in a manner so as to reduce runtime overhead.

Summary of the Invention

It is an object of the present invention to provide improved methods and mechanisms for collecting executing program runtime information.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide improved methods and mechanisms for collecting executing program runtime information at a subset of distinguished program points in a manner so as to reduce runtime overhead.

According to the invention, there is provided a system and method for collecting information at a subset of distinguished program points, and particularly, a mechanism to collect a statistical

sample of the information that would be collected at all identified program points. One potential advantage is that by using statistical sampling, the invention will reduce runtime overhead compared to exhaustive sampling at distinguished program points.

Advantageously, such a system and method is general enough to be applied to compiler and interpreter run-time processing environments. That is, similar mechanisms also apply when running interpreted code, as will be apparent to those skilled in the art.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments

For exemplary purposes, the present invention is described for operation in a particular JVM targeting server applications that implement a "compile-only" strategy by compiling all methods to native code before they execute, such as described in the references "Jalapeno Virtual Machine", IBM Systems Journal, 39(1), 2000 by B.Alpern, C. R. Attanasio, et al and "Implementing Jalapeno in Java", ACM Conference on Object-Oriented Programming Systems, Languages, and Applications, 1999, both of which are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. However, it is understood that the principles of program characterization as described herein may be applicable for any run-time environment, e.g., JVM, interpreters, Just-in-Time compilers, etc.

In the JVM, Java threads are multiplexed onto operating system threads. The underlying operating system in turn maps pthreads

20

to physical processors (CPUs). At any given moment in time, each virtual processor may have any number of Java threads assigned to it for execution. The system supports thread scheduling with a quasi-preemptive mechanism. Further, each compiler generates 5 yield points, which are program points where the running thread checks a dedicated bit in a machine control register to determine if it should yield the virtual processor. Currently, the compilers insert these yield points in method prologues and on loop back edges. As known, algorithms exist that optimize 10 placement of yield points to reduce the dynamic number of yield points executed while still supporting effective quasi-preemptive thread scheduling. Using a timer-interrupt mechanism, an interrupt handler periodically sets a bit on all virtual processors. When a running thread next reaches a yield point, a check of the bit will result in a call to the scheduler.

It is assumed that information is being collected from a compiled binary program, however, it is understood that mechanisms in accordance with the principles of the invention may be employed for running interpretive code.

According to the principles of the invention, a trigger is defined as a bit of program state that specifies whether an action should be taken. The run-time system or instrumented code 25 may set a trigger to signal that an action should be taken. Further, a yield point is defined as a special sequence of instructions that performs the following actions when it is executed: 1) it checks the trigger bit; 2) if the trigger bit is set, the yield point is taken and some action is performed; and 3) if the trigger bit is not set, the yield point is not taken,

no action is performed, and the next instruction after the yield point is executed.

At a high-level, the invention's method comprises the following steps: The compiler inserts yield points at distinguished program points. At runtime, the system periodically sets off a trigger when it decides to take a sample of current program behavior. When the running program next encounters a yield point, it observes that the trigger has been set and takes some action.

10

The actions performed at yield points occur at a subset of the executions of yield points. Depending on the type of information and sampling technique desired, the system implementer may choose from a variety of policies regarding where to insert yield points, when to set triggers, and what action a yield point should take.

With regard to the placement of yield points, although yield points may be placed at an arbitrary subset of program points, the preferred embodiment places yield points in all method prologues and in all loop headers (a back edge). As, in some circumstances, identifying loop headers may incur unacceptable levels of overhead, an alternative placement of yield points in all method prologues and at the targets of all backwards intraprocedural branches may be used instead. In either case, the system distinguishes between prologue yield points and loop yield points and may take different sampling actions when a yield point is taken in a method prologue rather than when a yield point is taken in a loop.

30

Abstractly, a prologue yield point performs the following system operations represented by the following pseudocode:

if (shouldTakePrologueYieldPoint) then
 takePrologueSample()

s end

Similarly, a loop yield point performs the following system operations:

10 if (shouldTakeLoopYieldPoint) then
 takeLoopSample()
 end

A preferred embodiment implements a timer based approach.

Preferably, associated with shouldTakePrologueYieldPoint and shouldTakeLoopYieldPoint is the reserved bit Atrigger bit@ which is initially set to 0. Using standard operating system signal mechanisms, an interrupt is arranged to occur at periodic time intervals. An interrupt handler is coded to catch the timer intervupt. When the handler catches the interrupt, it sets the trigger bit to be 1. Yield points check the value of the trigger bit, and when it is 1 the yield point is taken, a sample is collected, and the trigger bit is reset to 0. In this implementation, the pseudo code for prologue yield points is as follows:

if (triggerBit == 1) then
 takePrologueSample()
 triggerBit = 0

30 end

5

Similarly, the pseudo code for loop yield points is as follows:

```
if (triggerBit == 1) then
   takeLoopSample()
   triggerBit = 0;
end
```

In some architectures, an efficient implementation may be to dedicate a bit in one of the CPU's condition registers to hold the trigger bit.

An alternative to the timer-based approach is use of a decrementing counter to arrange that a fixed percentage of all executed yield points are taken. For example, an implementation of the counter-based approach is given by the following pseudo-code for prologue yield points:

```
if (yieldPointCounter == 0) then
   takePrologueSample()
   yieldPointCounter = numYieldPointsToSkip;
else
   yieldPointCounter = yieldPointCounter - 1;
end
```

5 Similarly, the pseudo-code for loop yield points for this approach is:

```
if (yieldPointCounter == 0) then
    takeLoopSample()

30    yieldPointCounter = numYieldPointsToSkip;
else
    yieldPointCounter = yieldPointCounter - 1;
end
```

25

As will be appreciated by those skilled in the art, a counter-based yield point taking mechanism may be efficiently implemented on hardware architectures such as the PowerPC that include a count register and a decrement and conditional branch on count instruction.

A third approach blends the first two implementations by using a combined counter and timer based yield points in method prologues with a timer only yield point in loops. This may be desirable to support profile-directed inlining in the manner as described in commonly-owned, co-pending U.S. Patent application No. (YOR9200000358, D#13735), the contents and disclosure of which are incorporated by reference herein. An implementation of this approach is given by the following pseudo-code for prologue yield points:

```
if (triggerBit == 1 | | yieldPointCounter == 0) then
    takePrologueSample()
    if (triggerBit)
        triggerBit = 0;
    end
    if (yieldPointCounter == 0)
        yieldPointCounter = numYieldPointsToSkip;
    end
else
    yieldPointCounter = yieldPointCounter - 1;
end
```

For loop yield points a pseudocode implementation is as follows:

```
30 if (triggerBit == 1) then
          takeLoopSample()
          triggerBit = 0;
end
```

Again, those skilled artisans will appreciate that the above prologue yield point may be efficiently implemented on architectures with a count register and associated machine instructions.

5

In accordance with the invention, it is understood that a wide variety of sampling information may be collected when a yield point is taken. That is, a low-level mechanism exists that is available to map from a taken yield point to a method. 10 mechanisms include (1) inspecting the hardware state to determine the instruction address at which the yield point was taken and mapping that address to a method; and (2) inspecting the program's runtime stack to identify the method in which the yield point was taken, possibly by inspecting the return addresses stored on the runtime stack. These low-level sampling mechanisms identify and track executing methods with the frequency of executed methods being recorded for characterizing program In a similar manner, further information such as thecall-context, frequency of executing basic blocks and program variable values may be recorded for characterizing program behavior.

Implementations of takePrologueSample and takeLoopSample are now provided. One implementation of takePrologueSample and

25 takeLoopSample comprises determining which method was executing when the yield point was taken and incrementing a counter associated with that method. If the yield point was taken in a loop, then the sample should be attributed to the method containing the loop. If the yield point was taken in a prologue, 30 then the sample may be attributed to the calling method, the

called method, or to both the calling and called method. A preferred embodiment is to attribute 50% of a sample to each of the caller and callee methods.

- In addition to incrementing a method counter, more complex samples may be taken to aid method inlining. For example, the techniques described in commonly-owned, co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. _____ (YOR9200000357, D#13732) entitled METHOD FOR CHARACTERIZING PROGRAM EXECUTION BY PERIODIC CALL-STACK

 10 INSPECTION, the contents and disclosure of which is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein, are potential embodiments for takePrologueSample, and may be used for ascertaining call-context of executing program methods.
- Depending on the type of information and sampling technique desired, the system implementer can choose from a variety of policies regarding where to insert yield points, when to set triggers, and what action a yield point should take. A concrete example of this procedure to gather a statistical sample of method invocation behavior is now described.

In an example embodiment, the system collects a statistical sample of all method invocations. To use the invention, three considerations are addressed: 1) Where to insert yield points?; 2) When to set the trigger?; and 3) What action should be performed when a yield point is taken?

For this example, yield points are inserted in every method prologue with the trigger bit set off periodically based on an external timer. Further, for this example, the action will tally

the number of times it is invoked from each method prologue by calling a Listener routine which records data periodically or when a sampling condition is determined.

5 Having specified the policies, a preferred embodiment of the mechanisms employed to implement these policies is now given.

The trigger is implemented by reserving a single bit in the computer system's memory. Initially, this bit is set to 0.
Using standard operating system signal mechanisms, an interaction system.

Using standard operating system signal mechanisms, an interrupt is arranged to occur at periodic time intervals with an interrupt handler coded to catch the timer interrupt. When the handler catches the interrupt, it sets the trigger bit to be 1. It is assumed that the system assigns each method in the program a unique integer identifier, called the method id. The yield point sequence of instructions, in pseudo-code, are as follows:

if (trigger bit == 1) then
 call Listener(current method id)

20 end

25

30

Finally, we describe the implementation of the Listener routine. It keeps a table of integers, indexed by method id. Name this table the MethodCount table. The Listener routine simply increments the MethodCount table for the method i.d. that it is passed, and clears the trigger bit, as follows:

subroutine Listener(method id)
 increment MethodCount table entry for method id
 trigger bit = 0

This completes the preferred embodiment for this example. Naturally, the system will later process the statistical information collected as needed, as will be obvious to those skilled in the art.

While the invention has been particularly shown and described with respect to illustrative and preformed embodiments thereof, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that the foregoing and other changes in form and details may be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention which should be limited only by the scope of the appended claims.

CLAIMS:

Having thus described our invention, what we claim as new, and desire to secure by Letters Patent is:

- 1 1. A method for characterizing runtime behavior of a computer
- 2 program executing in an execution environment, said method
- 3 comprising:
- a) identifying one or more instances of yield points in
- 5 a program to be executed, each said yield point indicating a
- 6 potential sampling operation during execution of said program;
- b) during program execution, in response to an
- 8 identified yield point instance, ascertaining a state of said
- 9 execution environment for indicating whether a sampling operation
- 10 is to be performed; and,
- c) when state of said execution environment indicates a
- 12 sampling operation, recording relevant information for
- 13 characterizing behavior of said execution environment.
 - 1 2. The method as claimed in Claim 1, wherein said sampling
- 2 operation includes identifying a method currently executing in
- 3 said program, said method including tracking frequencies of
- 4 methods executed in said program for characterizing said program
- 5 behavior.
- 1 3. The method as claimed in Claim 2, wherein said sampling
- 2 operation includes identifying a calling context associated with
- 3 methods called by said program, said method including tracking
- 4 calling context frequency for characterizing said program
- 5 behavior.

- 1 4. The method as claimed in Claim 1, wherein said sampling
- 2 operation includes identifying current program variable values,
- 3 said program variable values being tracked for characterizing
- 4 said program behavior.
- 1 5. The method as claimed in Claim 1, wherein said sampling
- 2 operation includes identifying basic blocks executed in said
- 3 program, said method including tracking a frequency of basic
- 4 blocks for characterizing said program behavior.
- 1 6. The method as claimed in Claim 1, wherein when said state of
- 2 said execution environment does not indicate a sampling
- 3 operation, the step of executing a next instruction in said
- 4 executing program after said identified yield point.
- 1 7. The method as claimed in Claim 1, wherein said step b) of
- 2 ascertaining a state of said execution environment includes
- 3 checking status of a trigger bit set by said execution
- 4 environment to indicate performance of said sampling operation.
- 1 8. The method as claimed in Claim 1, wherein said trigger bit
- 2 status is set periodically by said executing environment.
- 1 9. The method as claimed in Claim 8, further including the steps
- 2 of:
- invoking a runtime system interrupt at periodic time
- 4 intervals; and,
- 5 implementing an interrupt handler mechanism for
- 6 catching said interrupt and setting said trigger bit.

- 1 10. The method as claimed in Claim 2, wherein said step of
- 2 identifying a currently executing method comprises determining an
- 3 instruction address at which the yield point was taken and
- 4 mapping that address to a called method.
- 1 11. The method as claimed in Claim 3, wherein said step of
- 2 identifying a calling context associated with methods comprises
- 3 inspecting a call-stack runtime data structure for tracking
- 4 methods currently active in said executing program.
- 1 12. The method as claimed in Claim 1, further including the step
- 2 of implementing a compiler device for inserting one or more yield
- 3 points in said program.
- 1 13. The method as claimed in Claim 1, further including the step
- 2 of implementing an interpreter device for ensuring execution of
- 3 said yield points in said program.
- 1 14. The method as claimed in Claim 1, wherein said yield points
- 2 are inserted in one or more program locations including: a method
- 3 proloque and a loop back edge.
- 1 15. A method for characterizing runtime behavior of a computer
- 2 program executing in an execution environment, said method
- 3 comprising:
- a) identifying one or more instances of yield points
- 5 inserted in a executing program, each said yield point indicating
- 6 a potential sampling operation during execution of said program;
- b) counting a number of identified yield points;
- 8 c) comparing said number against a predetermined
- 9 threshold; and,

- d) in response to meeting said threshold, performing a
- 11 sampling operation of said executing program, and, recording
- 12 relevant information for characterizing behavior of said
- 13 execution environment in response to said sampling.
 - 1 16. The method as claimed in Claim 15, wherein said sampling
 - 2 operation includes identifying a method currently executing in
 - 3 said program, said method including tracking frequencies of
 - 4 methods executed in said program for characterizing said program
 - 5 behavior.
 - 1 17. The method as claimed in Claim 16, wherein said sampling
- 2 operation includes identifying a calling context associated with
- 3 methods called by said program, said method including tracking
- 4 calling context frequency for characterizing said program
- 5 behavior.
- 1 18. The method as claimed in Claim 15, wherein said sampling
- 2 operation includes identifying current program variable values,
- 3 said program variable values being tracked for characterizing
- 4 said program behavior.
- 1 19. The method as claimed in Claim 15, wherein said sampling
- 2 operation includes identifying basic blocks executed in said
- 3 program, said method including tracking a frequency of basic
- 4 blocks for characterizing said program behavior.
- 1 20. The method as claimed in Claim 15, wherein said step c)
- 2 includes the steps of:
- initializing a counter to said predetermined threshold;
- 4 and, for each identified yield point instance,

- 5 decrementing said counter until said counter is zero,
- 6 whereby said sampling operation is arranged such that a fixed
- 7 percentage of all executed yield points are taken.
- 1 21. The method as claimed in Claim 16, wherein said step of
- 2 identifying a currently executed method comprises determining an
- 3 instruction address at which the yield point was taken and
- 4 mapping that address to a called method.
- 1 22. The method as claimed in Claim 17, wherein said step of
- 2 identifying a calling context associated with methods comprises
- 3 inspecting a call-stack runtime data structure for tracking
- 4 methods currently active in said executing program.
- 1 23. The method as claimed in Claim 15, further including the
- 2 step of implementing a compiler device for inserting one or more
- 3 yield points in said program, said yield points being in one or
- 4 more program locations including: a method prologue and a loop
- 5 back edge.
- 1 24. The method as claimed in Claim 15, further including the
- 2 step of implementing an interpreter device for ensuring execution
- 3 of said yield points in said program.
- 1 25. A system for characterizing runtime behavior of a computer
- 2 program executing in an execution environment, said system
- 3 comprising:
- a) mechanism for identifying instances of yield points
- 5 inserted in an executing program;

- 6 b) control device for determining a condition for
- 7 performing a sampling operation of said executing program at an
- 8 identified yield point instance; and,
- 9 c) sampling device for performing said sampling
- 10 operation of said executing program upon satisfaction of said
- 11 condition, and recording relevant information for characterizing
- 12 behavior of said execution environment in response to said
- 13 sampling.
 - 1 26. The system as claimed in Claim 25, wherein said sampling
 - 2 device includes mechanism for identifying a method currently
 - 3 executing in said program; said sampling device comprising
 - 4 mechanism for tracking frequencies of methods executed in said
 - 5 program for characterizing said program behavior.
 - 1 27. The system as claimed in Claim 26, wherein said sampling
- 2 device includes mechanism for identifying a calling context
- 3 associated with methods called by said program, said tracking
- 4 mechanism further tracking calling context frequency for
- 5 characterizing said program behavior.
- 1 28. The system as claimed in Claim 25, wherein said sampling
- 2 operation includes mechanism for identifying current program
- 3 variable values, said tracking mechanism further tracking said
- 4 program variable values for characterizing said program behavior.
- 1 29. The system as claimed in Claim 25, wherein said sampling
- 2 device includes mechanism for identifying basic blocks executed
- 3 in said program, said tracking mechanism further tracking a
- 4 frequency of basic blocks for characterizing said program
- 5 behavior.

- 1 30. The system as claimed in Claim 25, further including:
- 2 a system location for storing a trigger bit; and,
- a runtime system for said executing environment, said
- 4 runtime system setting said trigger bit to indicate performance
- 5 of said sampling operation; wherein, said control device
- 6 ascertains a state of said system bit for determining said
- 7 sampling condition.
- 1 31. The system as claimed in Claim 30, wherein said runtime
- 2 system includes:
- interrupt mechanism for generating timer interrupt
- 4 signal; and,
- 5 interrupt handler mechanism for catching said interrupt
- 6 and setting said trigger bit.
- 1 32. The system as claimed in Claim 26, wherein said mechanism
- 2 for identifying a currently executed method comprises includes
- 3 determining an instruction address at which the yield point was
- 4 taken and mapping that address to a called method.
- 1 33. The system as claimed in Claim 27, wherein said mechanism
- 2 for identifying a calling context associated with methods
- 3 comprises inspecting a call-stack runtime data structure for
- 4 tracking methods currently active in said executing program.
- 1 34. The system as claimed in Claim 25, wherein said control
- 2 device comprises:
- 3 counter device for counting a number of identified
- 4 yield points; and,

- 5 device for comparing said number against a
- 6 predetermined threshold value, wherein, in response to meeting of
- 7 said threshold, said control device initiating performing of said
- 8 sampling operation.
- 1 35. The system as claimed in Claim 25, further including a
- 2 compiler device for inserting one or more yield points in said
- 3 program.
- 1 36. The system as claimed in Claim 25, further including an
- 2 interpreter device for ensuring execution of said yield points in
- 3 said program.

20

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CHARACTERIZING PROGRAM BEHAVIOR BY SAMPLING AT SELECTED PROGRAM POINTS

5

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A system and method for characterizing runtime behavior of a computer program executing in an execution environment, the 10 method comprising: identifying one or more instances of yield points in a program to be executed, each yield point indicating a potential sampling operation during program execution; during program execution, in response to an identified yield point instance, ascertaining a state of the execution environment for indicating whether a sampling operation is to be performed; and, when the state of the execution environment indicates a sampling operation, recording relevant information for characterizing behavior of the execution environment. Relevant information for characterizing program behavior includes frequencies of methods executed in the program, and calling context associated with methods called by the program. Different mechanisms are provided for determining the sampling condition including the setting of a trigger bit by a runtime system, or, determining a sampling operations based on a fixed percentage of all executed yield 25 points taken.

SSM&P Docket No.: 13734
IBM Docket No.: YOR920000357US1

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

the specification of which (check one)

of the application or any patent issued thereon.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CHARACTERIZING PROGRAM BEHAVIOR BY SAMPLING AT SELECTED PROGRAM POINTS

x is attached hereto.						
was filed on	as United States App	lication Number				
or PCT International Appli	or PCT International Application Number					
and was amended on	(if applic	cable)				
I hereby state that I have reviewed the claims, as amended by any amen	ed and understand the conte	ents of the above identified specific	cation, including			
I acknowledge the duty to disclose accordance with Title 37, Code of		erial to the patentability of this agon 1.56.	oplication in			
foreign application(s) for patent which designated at least one could by checking the box, any foreign	or inventor's certificate, ntry other than the United application for patent or i	ted States Code, §119(a)-(d) or §365 or §365(a) of any PCT International States, listed below and have also inventor's certificate, or PCT Internation on which priority is claimed:	application identified below,			
Prior Foreign Application(s)			Priority Claimed			
			Yes No			
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes No			
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes No			
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	165 16			
Fig. 1 hereby claim the benefit under	35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any Un	tited States provisional application	(s) listed below.			
Application Number)	(Filing Date)					
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)					
International application designation of the claims of this application in the manner provided by the firmaterial to the patentability of	ting the United States, lis is not disclosed in the pr st paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § this application as defined	ed States Application(s), or §365(c) sted below and, insofar as the subjection United States, or PCT Internation 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclaim 37 CFR §1.56 which occurred between the subject of this applicational filing date of this application.	ct matter of each onal application lose information ween the filing			
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status) (patented, pendin	g, abandoned)			
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status) (patented, pendin	g, abandoned)			
I hereby declare that all statemes	nts made herein of my own k	knowledge are true and that all state	ements made on			

information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that willful false statements may jeopardize the validity

SSM&P Docket No.: 13734

IBM Docket No.: YOR920000357US1

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith (list name and registration number).

Manny W. Schecter (Reg. 31,722), Lauren C. Bruzzone (Reg. No. 35,802), Christopher A. Hughes (Reg. 26,914), Edward A. Pennington (Reg. 32,588), John E. Hoel (Reg. 26,279), Joseph C. Redmond, Jr. (Reg. 18,753), Douglas W. Cameron (Reg. No. 31,596), Wayne L. Ellenbogen (Reg. No. 43,602), Stephen C. Kaufman (Reg. No. 29,551), Daniel P. Morris (Reg. No. 32,053), Louis J. Percello (Reg. No. 33,206), David M. Shofi (Reg. No. 39,835), Robert M. Trepp (Reg. No. 25,933), Paul J. Otterstedt (Reg. No. 37,411) and Louis P. Herzberg (Reg. No. 41,500) and Marian Underweiser (Reg. No. 46,134).

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

Send Correspondence to: Richard L. Catania, Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser

400 Garden City Plaza, Garden City, New York 11530

Direct Telephone Calls to: (name and telephone number) Richard L. Catania, (516) 742-4343

Matthew R. Arnold

Inventor's Signature

Full name of sole or first inventor

Date 10/6/2000

389 Teaneck Road, Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660

Residence

USA

[≡] Citizenship

l.

Same as residence

Post Office Address

ļai.

Stephen J. Fink

Full name of second joint inventor, if any

Inventor's signature

Date 10/6/2000

2693 Cecile Drive, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

Residence

USA

Citizenship

Same as residence Post Office Address

SSM&P Docket No.: 13734
IBM Docket No.: YOR920000357US1

David P. Grove
Full name of third joint inventor, if any
1/1/2000
Inventor's Signature Date 10/11/2000
97 Tackora Trail. Ridgefield. CT 06877
Ji Idokofa IIdai y
Residence
USA
Citizenship
Same as residence
Post Office Address
Michael J. Hind
Full name of fourth joint inventor, if any
Inventor's signature Muhall H. Date 10/6/2000
Inventor's signature Muhlf III Date 10/6/2000
11 East Hill Road, Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567
Residence
<u>USA</u>
Citizenship
Same as residence Post Office Address
POST Office Address
: _:Peter F. Sweeney
Full name of fifth joint inventor, if any
Inventor's Signature AFT Wey Date 10/6/000
Inventor's signature / // /(\(\) \(\) \(\)
30 South Cole Avenue, Spring Valley, NY 10977
Residence
USA
Citizenship
Same as residence

Post Office Address

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Matthew R. Arnold et al. Docket: 13734

(YOR920000357US1)

Serial No.: Unassigned

Dated:

Filed: Herewith

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CHARACTERIZING PROGRAM BEHAVIOR BY SAMPLING AT SELECTED PROGRAM POINTS

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

ASSOCIATE POWER OF ATTORNEY AND REQUEST FOR CHANGE OF MAILING ADDRESS

sir:

Applicants, by their attorneys of record, hereby grant an Associate Power of Attorney to:

RICHARD L. CATANIA, Reg. No. 32,608; FRANK S. DIGIGLIO, Reg. 31,346; KENNETH L. KING, Reg. No. 24,223; STEPHEN D. MURPHY, Reg. No. 22,002; LEOPOLD PRESSER, Reg. No. 19,827; and JOHN S. SENSNY, Reg. No. 28,757

with full power of substitution to prosecute this application and transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection therewith.

Applicants further request that all future correspondence in connection with this application be directed and addressed to:

RICHARD L. CATANIA, ESQ. SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY AND PRESSER 400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, New York 11530 Direct all telephone calls to: (516) 742-4343.

Respectfully submitted,

Manny W. Schecter

Registration No.: 31,722 Telephone No.: (914)945-3252

IBM Corporation T.J. Watson Research Center Route 134/Kitchawan Road P.O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598 SF/vjs